CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1 1 1 MAR 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy Director for Administration  FROM: John M. Ray Director of Logistics  SUBJECT: Pneumatic Tube System  1. According to we are now at a crossroads with regard to a decision on the tube system. The Backfill architectural and engineering firm has been given notice to proceed on the design of the first of four electric riser systems for a sum approaching \$200K. This first set of risers will support the Office of Imagery Analysis (OIA). The contract is based on the use of the tube system shafts and shaft rooms. If the tube system is to be retained, we must cancel the contract, redraft the statement of work, and renegotiate. Our best guess is that design costs for this first system, which would involve cutting new vertical shafts through the original building, will increase by at least \$100K, and that construction costs, which are now estimated to be in the vicinity of \$400K, could increase to \$600K to \$650K.  2. We are in a ticklish situation as it is with regard to completing this riser upgrade concurrent with the preparation of OIA's spaces. If we have to start over on the work plan and the fee negotiations for this riser system, OIA's entry into the building could slip another month, and we would definitely be unable to fund the construction with FY-88 dollars because the design will not be completed in time. Further delay on a decision to disable the tube system will have a similar impact.  3. We have done some further research in an attempt to identify a way the ameliorate the near-term impact on the Directorate of Operations (DO) loss of the tube system. It added to us that a solution may be available if the DO wishes to adopt it.		
Director of Logistics  SUBJECT: Pneumatic Tube System  1. According to	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Associate Deputy Director for Administration
1. According to  we are now at a crossroads with regard to a decision on the tube system. The Backfill architectural and engineering firm has been given notice to proceed on the design of the first of four electric riser systems for a sum approaching \$200K. This first set of risers will support the Office of Imagery Analysis (OIA). The contract is based on the use of the tube system shafts and shaft rooms. If the tube system is to be retained, we must cancel the contract, redraft the statement of work, and renegotiate. Our best guess is that design costs for this first system, which would involve cutting new vertical shafts through the original building, will increase by at least \$100K, and that construction costs, which are now estimated to be in the vicinity of \$400K, could increase to \$600K to \$650K.  2. We are in a ticklish situation as it is with regard to completing this riser upgrade concurrent with the preparation of OIA's spaces. If we have to start over on the work plan and the fee negotiations for this riser system, OIA's entry into the building could slip another month, and we would definitely be unable to fund the construction with FY-88 dollars because the design will not be completed in time. Further delay on a decision to disable the tube system will have a similar impact.  3. We have done some further research in an attempt to identify a way tameliorate the near-term impact on the Directorate of Operations (DO) loss of the tube system. It appears to us that a solution may be available if the DO	FROM:	
a decision on the tube system. The Backfill architectural and engineering firm has been given notice to proceed on the design of the first of four electric riser systems for a sum approaching \$200K. This first set of risers will support the Office of Imagery Analysis (OIA). The contract is based on the use of the tube system shafts and shaft rooms. If the tube system is to be retained, we must cancel the contract, redraft the statement of work, and renegotiate. Our best guess is that design costs for this first system, which would involve cutting new vertical shafts through the original building, will increase by at least \$100K, and that construction costs, which are now estimated to be in the vicinity of \$400K, could increase to \$600K to \$650K.  2. We are in a ticklish situation as it is with regard to completing this riser upgrade concurrent with the preparation of OIA's spaces. If we have to start over on the work plan and the fee negotiations for this riser system, OIA's entry into the building could slip another month, and we would definitely be unable to fund the construction with FY-88 dollars because the design will not be completed in time. Further delay on a decision to disable the tube system will have a similar impact.  3. We have done some further research in an attempt to identify a way to a meliorate the near-term impact on the Directorate of Operations (DO) loss of the tube system. It appears to us that a solution may be available if the DO	SUBJECT:	Pneumatic Tube System
	a decision on the firm has been go electric riser will support the the use of the be retained, we renegotiate. On would involve concrease by at estimated to be  2. We are this riser upgrave to start on system, OIA's endefinitely be undesign will not the tube system.  3. We have ameliorate the the tube system.	the tube system. The Backfill architectural and engineering liven notice to proceed on the design of the first of four systems for a sum approaching \$200K. This first set of risers a Office of Imagery Analysis (OIA). The contract is based on tube system shafts and shaft rooms. If the tube system is to must cancel the contract, redraft the statement of work, and ur best guess is that design costs for this first system, which utting new vertical shafts through the original building, will least \$100K, and that construction costs, which are now in the vicinity of \$400K, could increase to \$600K to \$650K.  in a ticklish situation as it is with regard to completing ade concurrent with the preparation of OIA's spaces. If we ver on the work plan and the fee negotiations for this riser not into the building could slip another month, and we would nable to fund the construction with FY-88 dollars because the be completed in time. Further delay on a decision to disable will have a similar impact.  The done some further research in an attempt to identify a way to near-term impact on the Directorate of Operations (DO) loss of It appears to us that a solution may be available if the DO

OL 10073-88



25X1 SUBJECT: Pneumatic Tube System

no illusions about the feasibility of a comprehensive quick fix for the problem. However, the people who know about ALLSTAR's capabilities believe that an interim electronic solution to the loss of the tube system, the use of AIM, is available to the DO for at least a semblance of cable coordination while the more advanced IMS system is being developed.

4. Please advise us concerning the decision on the future of the tube system.—Our "drop dead date" is 16 March 1988.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/01/28: CIA-RDP91-00981R000100010015-8

John M. Ray

2

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/01/28 : CIA-RDP91-00981R000100010015-8

CONFIDENTIAL

23 <b>X</b> I	SUBJECT:	Pneumatic	Tube	System			
25 <b>X</b> 1	OL/FMI		•		(10	March	1988)
	Distribut	ion: rig & 1	Addre	ssee			

1 - OL Files 1 - OL/FMD Chrono

1 - OL/FMD/Official

1 - OL/FMD/HCS